

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO. Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,927	09/28/2005	Frank Sansevero	60429-234; OT-5276LAB	3758
64779 7590 06/16/2009 CARLSON GASKEY & OLDS 400 W MAPLE STE 350 BIRMINGHAM. MI 48009			EXAMINER	
			PRAKASAM, RAMYA G	
BIRMINGHA	M, MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			WIT DUT	DEL MEDIA CODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: FRANK SANSEVERO, CARLA MAJUNTKE, RALPH STRIPLING, SCOTT ASHWORTH, BERNWARD ENGELKE, QINGDONG RICHARD JIANG, and RUOMING ROY

> Application No. 10/550,927 Technology Center 3600

Mailed: June 16, 2009

Before Deborah L. PERRY, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team PERRY, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 8, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

Section 1207.02 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) (Eighth Edition, Rev. 6, September 2007) states:

Application No. 10/550,927

(A) CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAMINER'S ANSWER. The examiner's answer is required to include, under appropriate headings, in the order indicated, the following items:

. . . .

(8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and in the case of non-patent references, the relevant page or pages.

The Examiner's Answer mailed **April 16, 2008** is deficient because the "Evidence Relied Upon" section fails to include the reference(s) **Saito** (U.S. **Patent No. 4,798,274**) cited on page(s) **5** in the Examiner's Answer's grounds of rejection of **Claim 28** under 35 USC §103(a). Correction is required.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- to issue a PTOL-90 correctly setting forth the Evidence
 Relied Upon section and to correct other sections of the Answer as may be required; and
 - 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DLP/klh

CARLSON GASKEY & OLDS 400 W. MAPLE, SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009